

London Borough of Barking and Dagenham

Notice of Meeting

THE EXECUTIVE

Tuesday, 16 November 2004 - Civic Centre, Dagenham, 7:00 pm

Members: Councillor C J Fairbrass (Chair); Councillor C Geddes (Deputy Chair); Councillor J L Alexander, Councillor G J Bramley, Councillor H J Collins, Councillor S Kallar, Councillor M A McCarthy, Councillor M E McKenzie, Councillor L A Smith and Councillor T G W Wade

Declaration of Members Interest: In accordance with Article 1, Paragraph 12 of the Constitution, Members are asked to declare any direct/indirect financial or other interest they may have in any matter which is to be considered at this meeting

05.11.04

Graham Farrant
Chief Executive

Contact Officer: Alan Dawson
Tel. 020 8227 2348
Fax: 020 8227 2171
Minicom: 020 8227 2685
E-mail: alan.dawson@lbbd.gov.uk

AGENDA

1. **Apologies for Absence**
2. **Minutes - To confirm as correct the minutes of the meeting held on 9 November (to follow)**

Business Items

Public Item 3 and Private Item 8 are business items. The Chair will move that these be agreed without discussion, unless any Member asks to raise a specific point.

Any discussion of a Private Business Item will take place after the exclusion of the public and press.

3. **Provision of Match Funding Towards the Refurbishment and Repair of the Curfew Tower (Pages 1 - 4)**

Discussion Items

4. **Performance Monitoring 2004/05 (Pages 5 - 8)**

5. **Budget Monitoring 2004/05 (Pages 9 - 19)**
6. **Any other public items which the Chair decides are urgent**
7. **To consider whether it would be appropriate to pass a resolution to exclude the public and press from the remainder of the meeting due to the nature of the business to be transacted.**

Private Business

The public and press have a legal right to attend Council meetings such as the Executive, except where business is confidential or certain other sensitive information is to be discussed. The list below shows why items are in the private part of the agenda, with reference to the relevant legislation (the relevant paragraph of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972).

Discussion Items

None

Business Items

8. **512A Heathway: Accommodation Changes and Tender Proposal (Pages 21 - 29)**

Concerns a contractual matter (paragraphs 8 and 9)
9. **Any other confidential or exempt items which the Chair decides are urgent**

THE EXECUTIVE**16 NOVEMBER 2004****REPORT FROM THE DIRECTOR OF REGENERATION AND ENVIRONMENT**

MATCH FUNDING PROVISION TOWARDS REPAIRS TO THE CURFEW TOWER – GRADE II* LISTED BUILDING	FOR DECISION
<p>This report includes details of the funding required and obtained for the repair and refurbishment of the Curfew Tower, a request for approval to fund a 'one-off' contribution from the Council and arrangements that would need to be put in place.</p> <p>Summary</p> <p>The Curfew Tower is a grade II* listed building and an important part of the Borough's heritage. It is part of the complex of buildings within the Abbey scheduled Ancient Monument Site and within the Barking Town Centre Conservation area.</p> <p>The Tower is in need of urgent repair and maintenance. The Church Commissioners approached English Heritage for funding towards the estimated £156, 000 required. English Heritage has agreed to fund £100,000, subject to arranging greater public access to the Tower once works have been completed.</p> <p>The Church has requested financial assistance from the Council, to help cover the shortfall. Under Section 57 of the Planning (Listed building and conservation areas) Act 1990, the Council is enabled to provide contributions of this kind, towards the repair and up-keep of listed buildings within the authority's area.</p> <p>This is an important building in relation to the Heritage of the Borough and refurbishment works would enable the development of historic tourism and the regeneration of the Town Centre</p> <p>Recommendation</p> <p>The Executive is recommended to:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approve the provision of £50,000, as a 'one-off' contribution to the Church Commissioners towards the repair and maintenance of the Curfew Tower, under Section 57 of the Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, subject to an agreement to secure public access once repairs are finalised. • Agree to use £50k of the under-spend from the Social Services - Accommodation and Services – Fit for Purpose capital scheme. <p>Reason</p> <p>To preserve and maintain the Borough's heritage and to contribute to the core priorities of Raising General Pride in the Borough and Regenerating the Local Economy.</p> <p>Wards Affected - Abbey Ward.</p>	

Contact

Bernadette
McGuigan

Group Manager Urban
Design, DRE

Tel: 020 8227 3881

Fax: 020 8227 3896

Minicom: 020 8227 3034

E-mail: Bernadette.mcguigan@lbbd.gov.uk;

1. Background

- 1.1 It is the Council's responsibility to support the preservation and conservation of the historic fabric of the Borough. In addition, the preservation of the Borough's heritage is an important part of creating and building sustainable communities.
- 1.2 Although the Borough has a rich heritage, it does not have many listed buildings. Listed buildings are categorised into Grades, Grade I being the highest, then Grade II*, Grade III and so on. There are only two Grade I listed buildings in the Borough. The Curfew Tower dates back to the 12 C and is one of only four Grade II* Listed Buildings in the Borough. Therefore the importance and contribution of the Curfew Tower to the Borough's heritage is very high.
- 1.3 Refurbishment works would enable the development of historic tourism and contribute to the regeneration of the Town Centre. This would contribute to the core priorities of Raising General Pride in the Borough and Regenerating the Local Economy.
- 1.4 English Heritage have carried out an initial report on the works required to the Curfew Tower and have advised the Church Commissioners that works will amount to approximately £156,000.
- 1.5 The Church Commissioners have approached English Heritage for grant aid and have been granted an award of £100,000 towards costs. The award of funding is subject to enabling greater public accessibility to the Tower once repairs have been completed, which would be beneficial to the heritage tourism development in the Borough.
- 1.6 The Church Commissioners are contributing a total of £34,000, of which £6,000 will fund the shortfall of capital required and the remaining £28,000 will fund the detailed design work required. The funding make – up for the Capital cost would be as follows.

English Heritage Grant	£100,000
Requested contribution from LBBB	£ 50,000
Church Commissioners contribution	£ 6,000

Total **£156,000**

- 1.7 The on-going maintenance of the Curfew Tower is the responsibility of the Church Commissioners and would be funded by them. The provision of funding from the Council towards the repairs of the Curfew Tower would also be subject to receiving the 'green light' through the Council's CPMO process.

2. Next steps

- 2.1. The English Heritage funding is drawn down in two phases. Phase one covers investigations and preliminary work up to development stage. The Church Commissioners architect has completed this phase of the work and has submitted his findings to English Heritage. A response from them is due imminently. Phase two involves the actual conservation works (capital works). It is for these purposes that the Council's funding needs to be secured, to enable all works to be completed.
- 2.2. Under Section 57 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, the Council is enabled to make contributions to the preservation of Church buildings, subject to a legal agreement to provide greater public accessibility (similar to the English Heritage conditions) and for the pay back of funds, should the works not be carried out. We will be seeking a legal agreement with the Church Commissioners in accordance with this requirement.
- 2.3. As the Council funding will be used to complete capital works, a full project appraisal and submission to the Capital Assets Management group will be made with a project sponsor identified to ensure that use of the Councils' funding is appropriately monitored.

3. Financial implications

Within the current capital programme, if Members agree to a revised programme of works at 512A Heathway (see separate report on this agenda), £50k of the under-spend on that project could be utilised for this project.

4. Consultation

The following people have seen this report and are happy with it as it stands or have not raised any objections to the proposals.

DRE

Jim Mack; Head of Asset Management & Development

Gordon Glenday; Manager, Planning Division

Tim Lewis; Group Manager, Planning Division

Allan Aubrey; Head of Leisure

Jeremy Grint; Head of Regeneration

Simon Swift; Group Manager Parks & Leisure Development

David Theakston; Park Development Manager

Ivor Sheehan, Manager, Capital Programme Management

DF

Julie Parker; Director of Finance

David Waller; Interim Head of Finance

DCS

Naomi Goldberg; Head of Policy & Performance

DEAL

Kirstie Briody; Head of Heritage

Lead Member
Councillor Kallar – Regeneration
Councillor McKenzie – Making Barking and Dagenham Cleaner, Greener, Safer
Councillor Wade – Raising General Pride in the Borough

Background Papers

None

THE EXECUTIVE**16 NOVEMBER 2004****REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF CORPORATE STRATEGY**

PERFORMANCE MONITORING 2004/05		FOR DISCUSSION
<p>To update the Executive on 2nd Quarter 2004 / 2005 Performance of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Best Value Performance Indicators (statutory) <input type="checkbox"/> Council Scorecard Performance Indicators <input type="checkbox"/> PSA targets <p><u>Summary</u></p> <p>This report:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides background information on the monitoring of the Statutory and Council Scorecard Performance Indicators detailed in Futures, Barking & Dagenham's Performance Plan together with our PSA targets. • Refers to a series of graphs reporting performance on a number of Performance Indicators highlighted by TMT for the Executive's consideration. These graphs will be made available to Members in advance of the meeting. <p><u>Recommendation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Executive are asked to discuss performance as highlighted by performance indicators presented. 		
Contact: Laura Nicholls	Performance and Improvement	020 8227 2517 (telephone) 020 8227 2806 (fax) 020 8227 2685 (minicom) e-mail: laura.nicholls@lbbd.gov.uk

1. Background

- 1.1 In June 2004, the Council published its fifth annual Performance Plan – Futures 2004/2005 - setting out how the Authority aims to improve its services over the next 12 months. The document was published on the Council's website on 30 June 2004 and also issued to all Members, Directors and Heads of Service in the *Managing the Council* folder.
- 1.2 The Statutory Performance Indicators are National Indicators which have been determined by ODPM (Office of the Deputy Prime Minister – the Government department overseeing Best Value) and the Audit Commission.
- 1.3 The Council is required by law to collect and publish this information. In the process of developing the scorecards, services have identified key indicators for measuring improvement. This year's plan lists the Council Scorecard Performance Indicators for 2004/05 (Chapter 2 – Managing the Council). Internal Audit has again carried out

an audit of all the Council Scorecard Indicators to ensure they are robust and collectable.

- 1.4 A central system has been established to monitor each Performance Indicator, which is updated by departments on a quarterly, and in some cases monthly basis. TMT have again selected a number for your consideration for 2nd Quarter 2004/2005.
- 1.5 The basket of performance indicators that will be presented contain statutory Best Value Performance Indicators, Council Scorecard Performance Indicators and progress on our PSA targets.
- 1.6 For presentational purposes, each Performance Indicator is being reported in a graphical format, which allows performance to be shown over time and compared with other Local Authorities. PI headings are traffic light colour-coded and "smiley faces" have been added to clearly express how we are performing. Those indicators that fall into the CPA basket and those that are considered High Risk are highlighted with a red tab at the top left hand of the graph.
- 1.7 For the national indicators, figures have been included for neighbouring Boroughs together with lines showing the top 25% of performing Councils both nationally and across London. *(Please note it is only possible to compare our performance with the previous year's top quartile targets as these are only released in the December of each year following the outturns for that year).* This will not be possible for the majority of Council Scorecard PIs, as they are unique to Barking & Dagenham.
- 1.8 For Social Services performance information, comparison is no longer made with top quartile data. Comparison is now made with Performance Assessment Framework (PAF) performance targets for England and Outer London. The "smiley faces" will not be shown on Social Services graphs. Instead we have used the "blobs" to indicate whether performance is good or bad. i.e. ● = poor performing ●●●●● = high performing. The Social Services graphs also show a darker grey band to highlight what is good performance.
- 1.9 The notes section underneath the graph enables Chief Officers to be consistent in the way they report the PI's performance. *(See headings below).*

Headings
<u>Improvement / Deterioration</u>
<u>Action taken / update since last quarter</u>
<u>Further Action</u>
<u>Corporate Impact</u>
<u>Additional Information</u>

- 1.10 For the majority of Council Scorecard PIs this is the third year of reporting. Targets have been set for the next three years for the majority of these and are presented on the graphs.
- 1.11 The annual deadline for the publication of Futures, Barking and Dagenham's Performance Plan is 30 June. It is still a requirement that a summary of performance

information should be published by 31 March. Our summary of performance information for 2003/04 appeared in the March 2004 Citizen.

- 1.12 The Government have specified 96 Best Value (statutory) PIs for 2004/05 compared to 98 in 2003/04 and 97 specified for 2002/03.
- 1.13 There has recently been a fundamental review of BVPIs. Barking and Dagenham have been selected to sit on the ODPM Best Value Performance Indicators sounding board along with only 13 other local authority representatives. The sounding board will have approximately six meetings over the next few months to look at the revised suite of BVPIs. This is the first time Barking and Dagenham have had the opportunity to influence the discussion and the decisions taken at this level.
- 1.14 TMT and Departments will be kept informed on the progress of these meetings and we will update Members when an outcome has been reached.

2 Quarterly Monitoring

- 2.1 Each Performance Indicator contained in the Performance Plan is being monitored on a quarterly basis where possible. Some indicators can only be calculated on an annual basis and this is shown on the individual graphs. As the majority of the Council Scorecard PIs are strategic, they will only be reported annually unless otherwise stated. The 2003/04 Council Scorecard PIs have been reviewed for 2004/05. Please see chapter 2 of Futures 2004/2005 for more information.
- 2.2 Quarterly monitoring allows the Council to identify problem areas at an early stage and take remedial action to improve performance. It also identifies areas of good practice within the Council so that it can be shared throughout the organisation. The graphs are a useful visual aid to enable Members of the Executive to challenge Chief Officers on poor performance. The changes to the notes section should further assist Members in performing this role.
- 2.3 This quarterly process is now being used to monitor our Local Public Service Agreement (LPSA) targets which were agreed with Government in 2003. From April 2003 the following council scorecard indicator, **CS17b: Percentage of LPSA targets met on an annual basis** will be used to monitor its progress.

3 Comparing Performance

- 3.1 Guidance from the ODPM advises each Authority to compare performance with other Local Authorities. The monitoring system established allows the comparison of performance across a number of levels. National indicators provide the greatest opportunity for comparing performance as each Local Authority is collecting and reporting identical information.
- 3.2 *Neighbouring Boroughs.* Research undertaken by the Audit Commission has identified that people are particularly interested in comparing the performance of their Local Authority with neighbouring areas. Barking and Dagenham compare their performance with the neighbouring boroughs of Redbridge, Havering and Newham.
- 3.3 *Top 25% of performing Councils – both Nationally and London.* It is a requirement under Best Value that each Council must aim to perform within the top 25% of

Councils within 5 years. For indicators relating to the quality of services, comparison should be made with the top 25% of Councils across the country. For indicators relating to the cost of the service, comparison should be made with the top 25% in London. The ODPM have determined that in most cases, a low service cost is preferable.

- 3.4 *Local targets – For the majority of Council Scorecard Performance Indicators* comparisons can be made both over time and against the target set. These are identified on the relevant graphs.

4 Conclusion

- 4.1 This is the latest report on the monitoring of Futures 2004/2005 Barking and Dagenham's Performance Plan. Subsequent reports to both TMT and the Executive will follow after each quarter and at year-end.

5 Background papers used in the preparation of the report

- Best Value Performance Indicators 2003/2004 (burgundy book)
- Futures 2004/2005 – Barking & Dagenham's Performance Plan
- Consultation on Best Value Performance Indicators for 2005/06.

THE EXECUTIVE**16 NOVEMBER 2004****REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE****BUDGET MONITORING REPORT
APRIL TO SEPTEMBER 2004/05****FOR DECISION**

This report relates to the regular monitoring of the Council's budget.

Summary

The report updates the Executive on the Council's revenue and capital position from the beginning of April to the end of September 2004.

For revenue, it highlights continuing pressures on Education totalling about £0.2 million with other remaining Council services targeted to meet their budget requirements by the year end offset by a favourable position on interest on balances of about £0.2 million.

In order to alleviate the projected overspend by the year-end the Director of Education, Arts and Libraries is continuing to review elements of the Service's budget to ensure a balanced position by the year end. In the meantime, he is continuing to closely monitor the position.

For the Housing Revenue Account, minimal pressures currently exist which can be contained within the relevant working balance by the year end of £2.6 million.

For capital, the latest position is that there has been spend of £20.5 million on the overall budgeted programme of £91.772 million, with a current projection of a total spend of nearly £86 million by the year end. This aspect will need to be closely monitored by Directors to ensure programmed spend is achieved by the year end.

Recommendation

Members are asked to: -

1. Note the current position of the Council's revenue and capital budget.
2. Note that the Director of Education, Arts and Libraries is to continue to review his budget to ensure a balanced position.
3. Note the position and projected out-turn for the Housing Revenue Account.

Reason

As a matter of good financial practise, the Executive should be regularly updated with the position on the Council's budget.

Contact Officer	Title	☎ 020 8227 2932
Joe Chesterton	Head of Financial Services	e-mail joe.chesterton@lbbd.gov.uk
		Minicom: 020 8227 2413

1. Overview for Revenue Budget

- 1.1 At the end of September 2004, the Council has a relatively balanced budget for the year end. Current projections indicate that there are still financial pressures within the Education budget and that these may impact on the final position by the end of the current financial year. The position at the end of September is that for Education there is a projected overspend of £200,000. The Director of Corporate Strategy has implemented a relevant action plan and the latest position now indicates a broadly balanced budget by the year end after highlighting pressures of about £230,000 in the last monitoring report. Offsetting these factors is currently a favourable position on interest on balances of around £200,000 to produce an overall balanced position for the Council's revenue budget.

2. Service Position

2.1 General

- 2.1.1 Details of each service's current financial position are provided in Appendix A. It is expected such variances will reduce as the year progresses and out-turns for the full year are currently expected to be within the budgets agreed, except for that identified above for Education unless relevant action plans are not fully delivered.
- 2.1.2 At the Executive meeting on 20th July, Members approved roll forward requests from Directors for the revenue budget amounting to some £1.9 million. These items have now been added to the relevant Departmental budgets. It is important that Directors use these funds to deliver the relevant services associated with the agreed roll forwards and this will be closely monitored for the remainder of the financial year.

2.2 Education

- 2.2.1 Members will recollect that as part of the outturn report for 2003/04, which was considered by Executive on 20th July, detailed information was supplied on the 2003/04 outturn position for the Education Department. The report highlighted that for 2003/04 there was an actual overspend of £1,080,000 for the Education, Arts and Libraries Department. Members reaffirmed their earlier decision that the 2003/04 overspend should be initially met from the Department's 2004/05 budget and that the Director should report back to the Executive on the 2004/05 budget position with relevant action.
- 2.2.2 The Director of Education, Arts and Libraries reported the Education budget position to the Executive on 19 October. The report highlighted in year pressures on the Education Service of £846,000. In addition, it was also approved that there is the need to meet £300,000 of the 2003/04 overspend position. An action plan totalling £923,000 to address this overspend position of £1,146,000 was approved and if fully delivered will enable the position to reduce to £223,000. The agreed action

plan is attached at Appendix A(i). The Director is continuing to examine other areas of his budget to ensure savings are delivered to achieve a balanced budget by the year end.

2.2.3 The position is to be continually monitored by the Director alongside support from the Finance Department and Members will be apprised of the ongoing situation in future monitoring reports.

2.3 Other Services

2.3.1 The position to date for Social Services is showing an underspend of around £1.5 million. However, as is usual for this service winter pressures are likely to reduce this underspend position to a projected balanced position at the year end.

2.3.2 For Corporate Strategy the Director has implemented a relevant action plan and the latest position now indicates a broadly balanced budget by the year end after highlighting pressures of about £230,000 in the last monitoring report.

2.3.3 In respect of the Finance Department there is an underspend position of about £200,000 against budget for this time of the year but agreed recruitment and other pressures is likely to produce a broadly balanced budget by the year end.

2.3.4 The Regeneration and Environment Department is currently indicating a balanced position against budget at the end of September with pressures in planning and leisure being offset by favourable positions in car parking, land and property. It is also anticipated that the Department will have a balanced budget by the year end.

3. Housing Revenue Account (HRA)

3.1 The HRA working balance as at the 31st March 2005 was originally estimated to be £2.6 million. Although some early pressures have been identified within the newly created Neighbourhood Environmental Services Division, these are relatively small and can be contained within the overall budgets. It is, therefore, anticipated at this stage of the year that the working balance will remain at £2.6 million by the end of 2004/05.

4. Interest on Balances

4.1 The current position is that this area of the budget is showing signs of better performance and that current projections show an anticipated favourable variance by the end of the year. As at the end of September this is estimated at about £200,000. The favourable position is arising due to the recent increases in interest rates, performance on investments being better than expected coupled with a larger investment base due to earlier Capital receipts being generated from land disposals and right to buy sales. This positive position will allow the strengthening of Council balances at the year end.

5. Savings and Growth – Budget Decisions 2004/05

5.1 The Savings and Growth items approved by Members as part of the 2004/05 budget process is being closely monitored by relevant Directors and the Director of Finance. Total savings for the EPCS block amounted to £ 3.479 million and growth

of £2.583 million. A summary by Department on their performance to date for meeting these targets is shown at Appendix B. The latest position for 2004/05 is that the majority of the level of savings required and growth commitment is being contained within relevant Departmental budgets. Where specific savings items are not being actioned the relevant Directors are reviewing their budgets appropriately. Further updates will be provided in future monitoring reports.

6. Capital Programme

- 6.1 The Capital Programme is being actively managed by the Capital Programme Management Office (CPMO) team in the Department of Regeneration and Environment alongside financial input from the Finance Department. A Summary of the latest position for the 2004/05 programme is shown in Appendix C.
- 6.2 As at the end of September approximately £20.5 million of this year's programme has been spent out of an overall original budget for the year of around £91.8 million compares with an actual spend at the end of June of only £9.3 million. It is quite usual for the majority of spending on capital schemes to occur in the latter part of the year as a result of tender exercises, consultation etc and the spend to the end of September is consistent with the pattern of spend in the last financial year. However, it must be noted that currently, half way through the year, only 22% of the programme has actually been spent.
- 6.3 The Capital Programme has increased from the original programmed level of £91.772 million by around £19 million to a working budget of £110.652 million, due mainly to carry forwards from 2003/04 and recent Executive decisions on profiling of schemes and new external funding. The current projections indicate that there will be an overall spend by the year end of some £86 million (94% of the original budget).
- 6.4 Members will be aware that at the Executive meeting on 20 July the carry forwards from the 2003/04 programme were approved and amounted to some £19.5 million. This approval was some five months earlier than last year and is now assisting greatly in improving the performance monitoring of the current year's programme.
- 6.5 This information has been incorporated into relevant capital monitoring reports and it is, therefore, enabling a much clearer picture of the progress of each scheme within the programme to be undertaken and a firmer position on the projected outturn of the overall programme to be established.
- 6.6 Regular liaison between the CMPO and project sponsors is taking place to ensure that projections of spend on capital schemes are robust and achievable by the year end. It is important, therefore, that Directors are closely monitoring this position to achieve full spend of their programmed budgets by the year end.

Background Papers

Oracle reports
CPMO reports

REVENUE BUDGET 2004/2005**SUMMARY OF POSITION - APRIL TO SEPTEMBER 2004**

	<u>Original Budget</u>	<u>Working Budget</u>	<u>Projected Outturn</u>	<u>Projected Outturn Variation</u>
	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>
<u>Department</u>				
Corporate Strategy	1,543	1,935	1,943	8
Education, Arts & Libraries	132,778	134,232	134,455	223
Finance	-	-	-	0
Housing & Health	5,105	5,492	5,492	0
Regeneration and Environment	28,396	28,937	28,967	30
Social Services	66,380	66,826	66,826	0
Total for Department's	234,202	237,422	237,683	261
<u>Other Services</u>				
Corporate Management	5,533	5,638	5,638	0
General Finance	-25,965	-28,928	-29,128	-200
Contingency	863	501	501	0
Levies	5,535	5,535	5,535	0
Total for Other Services	-14,034	-17,254	-17,454	-200
Total Council Budget	220,168	220,168	220,229	61

This page is intentionally left blank

Education Action Plan 2004/05

	Current Budget	Potential Savings
	£'000	£'000
1. Freeze on central standards funds	2700	260
2. SEN Transport – reduce number of routes and taxi journeys	2500	120
3. SEN Statements – reduced number of statements issued prior to implementation of new SEN funding formula for schools	2453	50
4. Adult College – delete subsidy to become self-financing	50	50
5. Community Inspection Advisory Service salaries – freeze vacant General Inspectors post	1292	70
6. Education Psychology Service – reviewing staff and capitation budgets	369	26
7. Access and Attendance post – freeze vacant post	542	26
8. Music Service and Trewern - overachieved income	644	40
9. Youth Service – freeze vacant part-time posts	947	26
10. Specialist SEN Support - freeze vacant post	660	48
11. Education IT Section - freeze vacant post	360	34
12. Early Years Post - freeze vacant post	1248	13
13. B&D Training Unit – additional LSC funding	297	25
14. Mobile phones – limit to essential users	-	10
15. Westbury Centre – increase canteen and hire charges	313	5
16. Pupil number adjustment based on provisional pupil numbers in September.		120
		923

This page is intentionally left blank

BUDGET SAVINGS AND GROWTH 2004/05
(EPCS SERVICES)

SAVINGS

BUDGET SAVINGS 2004/05		
SUMMARY		
Department	Amount £'000	Projected Outturn £'000
Corporate Strategy	713	593
Education, Arts and Libraries	45	45
Finance	340	340
Housing and Health	527	444
Regeneration and Environmental Services	1,768	1,768
Social Services	86	86
TOTAL	<u>3,479</u>	<u>3,276</u>

Comments:

Overall current projections by Directors indicate that there will be a shortfall of £203,000 in the agreed savings target of £3.497 million and this arises within the Housing and Health and Corporate Strategy Department's. This position mainly relates to staff saving options. Further work is being undertaken by Directors to ensure the full savings figure is delivered by the year end.

GROWTH

BUDGET GROWTH 2004/05		
SUMMARY		
Department	Amount £'000	Projected Outturn £'000
Corporate Strategy	0	0
Education, Arts and Libraries	240	240
Finance	230	230
Housing and Health	205	205
Regeneration and Environmental Services	1,280	1,280
Social Services	213	213
Corporate	415	415
TOTAL	<u>2,583</u>	<u>2,583</u>

Comments:

Directors currently anticipate the full use of the agreed growth in the budget of £2.583 million.

This page is intentionally left blank

CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2004/2005**SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE - APRIL TO SEPTEMBER 2004**

	<u>Original Budget</u>	<u>Working Budget</u>	<u>Projected Outturn</u>	<u>Projected Outturn Variation against Working Budget</u>	<u>Projected Outturn Variation against Original Budget</u>
	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>
<u>Department</u>					
Corporate Strategy	500	4,179	2,751	-1,428	2251
Education, Arts & Libraries	28,215	34,208	21,432	-12,776	-6783
Finance	1,950	3,567	1,737	-1,830	-213
Housing & Health	34,596	40,781	38,523	-2,258	3927
Regeneration and Environment	13,276	15,636	11,343	-4,293	-1933
Social Services	8,250	7,460	5,725	-1,735	-2525
Total for Department Schemes	86,787	105,831	81,511	-24,320	-5,276
<u>Accountable Body Schemes</u>					
Regeneration and Environment	4,985	4,821	4,214	-607	-771
Total for Accountable Body Schemes	4,985	4,821	4,214	-607	-771
Total for all Schemes	91,772	110,652	85,725	-24,927	-6,047

This page is intentionally left blank

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 8, 9 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 8, 9 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank